Scott. 11-27—30

Revenue (1933) Departme

[illegible] SERIES.

G.O. No.	[illegible] 93
DATED	16 1. 1933.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Desi: (South Kanara) - Kanjarus. No
state ni vadei with. Trial from 1-4.
Desi -

Rv 341

M. S. SRESHTA, M.L.C.
C.C.S. (Retd.)

INGLEDON,
KANKANADY P.O.,
MANGALORE.

15.1.32

Jany 3rd 32

D2

51.32

Mp 3-4 g Letter No 29782 (T) 4.1.32

Sir,

Para 1 at p 3 g (T)

With reference to your letter No 29782/31-2 of 17th Nov 1931 addressed to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, I regret that Government are unable to accept my suggestion that the price of arrack be reduced. I am making a further study of the matter and shall address you again on the subject.

Items (1) & (3) at pp 7-8 g (T)

With regards to the other suggestions made in my letter of 1st July 1931, may I know what decisions have been arrived at. I may assure you that there is a real demand for the sealed bottle system in Mangalore. Government will be

Price informed — vide pp 15-17 g (T)

5

M.S. Shresta, M.L.C.,
C.C.S. (Retd.)

Ingledon,
Kankanady P.O.,
Mangalore.

10th January 1932.

R 9 : D
12/32

(was awaiting D2 the return of this file) 12.1.32



pp 15-17 z(T)

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 2978-D/31-3 dated 4th January 1932, I have the honour to request that I may be informed whether samples of arrack fresh from the distilleries, and available in taverns are periodically sent to the Public Analyst for analysis. There is a general belief that arrack contains deposits of copper sulphate, and that the arrack sold in taverns is adulterated with impure water and deleterious substances.

2 Have experiments been made for improving the flavour of the liquor now distilled in this Presidency, and of using bases other than those at present used for the purpose of improving the flavour?

vide para 2 at pp 16-17 z(T)

3 I request that I may be informed the grounds on which the sale of liquor in sealed bottles has been considered expensive and difficult to control. Would not the extra expenditure involved be met by an extra charge on each sealed bottle, as suggested by me? I am unable to understand why it will be any more difficult to control the sale of bottled indigenous liquor distilled from jaggery than such liquor distilled from other bases, known as Malabar arrack.

vide keep's note at the margin of p 48 z (Rw 12/32 4.1.32)

para (3) at p 8 z (T) & para 2 at pp 1-2 Rw 12

4 As to the argument that the proposal in question "is of doubtful value as promoting temperance, and that its adoption will lead to mal-practices and create a temptation in the drinker to drink to excess since it cuts out the possibility of buying a dram or less of liquor", I did not propose that with the introduction of the sealed bottle, the sale of a dram or less of liquor is to be given up. I proposed the sale of sealed bottles in

A

addition to the present sale of small quantities of liquor, to meet the demand for liquor which does not run the risk of adulteration, at a higher price. As stated by me in a letter which crossed yours under reply, there is a real demand for sealed bottles of the quality of liquor sold in drams in taverns. I have been repeatedly asked by voters in my constituency to urge pres: for such sale and I am told that in Mangalore there will be a ready sale of sealed bottles. To put the case at the lowest, there is a very strong case for trying my proposal at Mangalore, and I would urge that my request be reconsidered, and that at least as a first step, bottling may be tried at the Mangalore Depot.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M S Theshla

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Revenue Department,

Revenue Dept.

Ors no 34 of 32-28 20.6.32

Excise (South Kanara). Mangalore Arrack. Sale in sealed bottles

It has been represented to the Govt that, with a view to supplying better liquor to the South Kanara district, the system of sale of liquor in sealed bottles should be introduced at least in the Mangalore depot in the first instance. Govt are aware of the difficulties in the way of working such a system pointed out by the Commr of Excise on previous occasions but they consider that the difficulties in supplying bottled arrack are not insuperable. Arrack is as a matter of fact supplied at present in bottles, e.g. Indian-made whiskey etc which are merely arrack bottles

p 6 CR + A at p. 8 NR

vide para 3 at pp 5-6 NR

para 2 of govt order at p 8 NR

Whisky etc flavouring of these articles can be supplied in bottles, the Govt do not see why Arrack whisky and the flavouring Essences ~~should~~ similarly be supplied in bottles. Presumably it could also be sold by foreign liquor licensees. The Commr is requested to examine the question further and report whether there is any objection to the introduction of a system of supplying arrack in bottles as a first step in Mangalore. The Commr is also requested to submit detailed proposals in the matter for the consideration of Govt.

Para 2 of Govt's letter dt 8.7.32

C

Sd/- 16.6.32

Sd/- 16.6.32

Sd/- 16.6.32

R

The Commr excise

Dpd. Vau Post

Dpt

Dat

Rr 23.8.32.

Rr 21-9-32. RH 23.9.32

Rr 21-10-32.

9

C.F. 31

EXCISE DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 3635D

Received ..... 192 Registered 21.10.32 192

D2-9½ dtd 21.10.32

Subject.

Country spirits - sale in sealed bottles - trial in Mangalore town - Report to Government.

Government Memo No.34/D-32, dated 20th June 1932.

1pp7-8 enclosures

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

C.R.No. 5132/Abkari/32.

Station Triplicane Madras *Dated the* 19th October 1932.

From

The Board of Revenue, Land & Revenue. / Revenue Settlement, etc. / Separate Revenue.

E.F.Thomas Esq.,C.I.E.,I.C.S.,
Ag.3rd Member, Board of Rev.,& Excise Commr.

Reference.

In their Memorandum 1pp7-8 enclosures read above the Government have requested the Commissioner -

(i) to examine further and report whether there is any objection to the introduction of a system of supplying arrack in bottles as a first step in Mangalore, for sale in F.L.2 (retail) shops, with a view to supplying better liquor to the South Kanara District; and

(ii) to submit detailed proposals for the consideration of Government

2. The Commissioner is unaware of any general complaint that the arrack supplied by the contract distillers (Messrs. Parry & Co.) through the Mangalore Warehouse is

of

x p 96 Excise manual, Vol II (Amd 4.9 vide Secy's memo)

Rules at p 57 NF

of inferior quality or that there is any general demand for bottled arrack. There is nothing wrong with the quality of liquor supplied from the Mangalore Warehouse nor is there dilution in Mangalore shops on such a scale as to create a demand for arrack in sealed bottles. The well in the Warehouse at Mangalore from which water is taken to break down liquor to the issue strength has recently been cemented and the possibility which existed before of liquor becoming cloudy during the hot weather owing to the occasional presence of suspended matter in the water has been removed. The difficulties in supplying bottled arrack are, as Government remark, not insuperable; the only question is whether it is advisable or not to supply arrack in bottles. If Government decide that a supply of arrack in bottles should be made as a first step in Mangalore arrangements can be made with the distillers to bottle arrack at the Mangalore Warehouse. But the arrack thus supplied will be equal in all respects for quality and taste to the arrack issued from the warehouse in other containers to depots and shops for sale by the ordinary licences. The only difference to the consumer will be that arrack in sealed bottles will not be subject to dilution by the seller and will not suffer proof wastage to which opened consignments of arrack and arrack in cask is liable.

CF para 2 of Commr's letter at p 24 CF in Limited file (Cmr 220 B/32)

x B at p 7 supra + Govt's note at p 8 NP

3. The ordinary drinker at a shop takes at a time half to two drams and rarely more than that. Messrs. Parry & Co., were consulted as to whether it would be possible for them to supply arrack in bottles of one dram, two drams and four drams capacities. They point out that it will be inconvenient and impracticable to supply in one dram and two dram bottles as such bottles have to be specially imported at a price which will be greater than the liquor contained in them and they anticipate difficulties in the matter of wastages, corking, labour etc., in connection with the bottling of very small quantities. They add that it will be less difficult to supply in pint (four drams) bottles, or quart (eight drams) bottles. The latter (8 drams) is out of the question as the limit of private possession of country spirits is only four drams. The cost

CF para 3 of Commr's letter at p 24 CF (J)

of a pint bottle including the cost of labour, bottling etc., is quoted roughly as 3 annas 4 pies and the total cost of a pint bottle of liquor at the present rate of issue price and duty works out roughly to Re.1/- at the shop. It will probably be higher since the renters have to make allowances for possible breakages in transit and storage. Arrack in bottle will necessarily be sold at a higher rate than on draught and if, as the Commissioner proposes, the two are sold side by side in arrack shops, and not as suggested by Government in F.L. shops, it is unlikely that there will be very much demand for arrack in bottle.

4 Arrack in bottle could undoubtedly be sold by F.L. licensees if they were authorised to sell it but the Commissioner agreeing with the local officers considers that this should not be permitted. Arrack manufactured from a molasses or jaggery base is treated as country spirit and sold in independent shops which are disposed of by auction. On principle it seems anomalous to permit its sale also in F.L.2 (retail) shops which are disposed of on fixed fees. No doubt cocoanut toddy arrack is sold by F.L. licensees, but it is treated for all practical purposes as F.L. and is assessed at Rs.21-14-0 a proof gallon compared with an issue rate for arrack including cost price and duty of Rs.11-0-1 per proof gallon at Mangalore. Government are fully aware of the circumstances under which the sale of cocoanut toddy arrack was not permitted in arrack shops but allowed in F.L. shops. To permit the sale of bottled molasses arrack by F.L. licensees will be to set up another agency to compete with the arrack shops. Such a course will certainly affect arrack rentals and possibly the revenue derived from cocoanut toddy arrack, which, owing to its high cost, may be replaced to some extent by molasses arrack with its comparatively low duty. Bottled molasses arrack cannot be placed in the same category as cocoanut toddy arrack or other Foreign Liquor. This very question of issuing arrack in bottles was raised

15

VB

T.T.

From the Supdt, Revd
Police & Excise, Excise Commr's
Office

Excise (South Kanara)
Mangalore. Country Spirits.
Sale in sealed bottles.
Commr's myc, Cdr no
5132 Abx/32 of 4.X.32.

In para 3. of the
Commr's myc quoted above,
it is estimated that bottles
arrack ~~sold~~ stored have to be
sold at a price of at
least one rupee per pint.
Please state ~~Kindly let us know~~ the
normal price per pint
of the ordinary 'darughe'
arrack now sold in
arrack shops in. An
urgent reply is requested
today

Issued
@
26.X.32

Abx
26/X/32

Sd
Supdt
26/X

U 2

C.F. 304—2,700 Bks. 10-9 28.

OFFICE OF THE _____

Dated _____ 192

_____ Hours _____ Minutes.

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From The Senior Supdt. office of the Commr of Excise

To The Supdt Rev

Excise - S. Kanara Mangalore -

Country Spirit - Sale in sealed bottles

The wholesale price per pint (4 drams) of arrack as brought in the shops of the Mangalore town is 12 as

9/26.1.32

Revenue Dept.

Excise - Arrack - Manufacture - Certain suggestions

pp 1-8 CF

G.O. No. 24 d/32 - from Mr. K.S. Sreshta ad. Index q 31

To AS

Please see the letter from Mr. K.S. Sreshta Index at pp 1-3 CF. The Hon'ble suggestions regarding the sale of liquor in sealed bottles and the adoption of improved methods of distilling liquor (contained in his letter of 1.7.31) were examined by the Govt. in consultation with the Excise authorities and the result of their examination was communicated to this Index in letter No. 2978 d/31-3 of 4.1.32. No action is therefore now necessary on paras 2 & 3 of the letter at pp 1-2 CF.

items (1) & (3) at pp 7-8 of letter No. 2978 d/31-3 dt. 1.32 (TI)

pp 15-17 ibid

2. As regards the manufacture of ~~arrack~~ from Cashew fruits referred to in para 4 of the letter, the question was examined in 1927 in connection with a Legislative Council question asked by Mr. J.A. Saldanha. The Govt. was then of opinion that if arrack was allowed to be manufactured out of cashew fruits it would be very difficult to differentiate between the licit and illicit liquor and consequently it would not be easy to detect illicit practices. In connection with a representation made in 1930 to the late Minister for Excise (Mr. Muthenna Hegde) by the South Kanara District Advancement

p 2 CF

pp 1-2 of Fle No. 2028 (C) 1570/27 (C)

Mr. P.L. Moore

para 4 at pp 18-19 and p 30 of file in (C).

A

pp 1-2 of file 1322 (W) (A) 12.7.30

Association regarding the manufacture of power or industrial alcohol from cashew fruits the Commr (Mr. Cotterill) stated that there was no reason to suppose that the cost of distilling cashew spirit would be any less than that of distilling molasses or jaggery spirit. It does not appear that the position would have materially changed since 1929 to make it possible for ~~permanent basis~~ for the manufacture of cashew arrack being permitted without detriment to Govt revenue. It is perhaps unnecessary to consult the Commr on this point now. For orders whether we should inform as at A on p. 1 ante or whether the present Commr may be consulted on the subject before sending a reply to the M. L. C.

Vide Cairo of 5/1

5.1.32

11.1.32

S

Submit draft letter to the Commr. if necessary

N

It would be one of the questions to frame when we license for distilling Cashew arrack in the District: and then it will be seen whether anyone is willing and able to take up a big enterprise of this kind

Cwe. 12.1.32

12/1.

Sent to office separately

In 3 Mr Shesha has sent another Communication

3 4

in reply to the letter of the 4th wish : he has written to me so. Please see if there is anything in that.

13/1

The other comm: is about the sealed bottle system only, I think. Deal with it.

15

From Hon'ble Mr. Sreshta, dated 10.1.32 — pp 5-6C

Revenue.

P 124 D
15 1 32

D2
15/1/32

M. S. SRESHTA, M.L.C.
(C.C.S. (Retd.)

INGLEDON,
KANKANADY P.O.,
MANGALORE.

10th January 1932.

Dear Dewan Bahadur,

pp 7-8 (T)

pp 3-4 + 15-17
8 (T)

I have received unfavourable replies to my from the Secretary, Revenue Department, to my letters to you regarding the reduction of price of arrack, improvement of its quality and sale in sealed bottles. I enclose the copy of my reply to him and shall be grateful if at least, as a first step, the sealing of bottles at the Mangalore Depot can be sanctioned There is persistent and wide spread complaint that the arrack sold in taverns is freely adulterated. I think the public are entitled to have on payment of reasonable extra charge liquor which is pure. I may assure you that such sale will be highly appreciated and that the public will be most grateful to you if it is sanctioned.

Same as pp 5-6C
(Copy placed below)

A 1

2 I regret it will not be possible for you to attend an At-Home of the Catholic Association. We had been looking forward to it and I think that Mr.Hegde might have arranged to put it through, even at the sacrifice of private functions.

3 With kind regards and apologising for troubling you so much,

Yours sincerely,

M S Sreshta

A - Hon'ble Minister
Commerce

pp 9

TOAS

Please see HM's note at pp 2-3 supra

and Secy's note thereon at p 3 supra. The Communication referred to by Hrs is at pp 5-6 Cr and it Contains nothing about the manufacture of arrack from Cashew fruits dealt with in para 2 at pp 1-2 supra which is for order

2. The points raised in the letter at pp 5-6 Cr and at p 3 supra are dealt with below:-

Improved methods in distilling arrack:- In the Circumstances reported in para 1 of the Commr's proceedings at pp 5-6 of letter no 29.78/31-3 (T) dt 4.1.32, the Secy was told that the Govt did not Consider that it was possible to take any action on his suggestions regarding the improved methods of distilling arrack. The Secy enquires —

(i) Whether Samples of arrack fresh from the distilleries, and available in taverns are periodically sent to the Public analyst for analysis; and

(ii) Whether experiments have been made for improving the flavour of liquor now distilled in this Province, and of using bases other than those at present used for the purpose of improving the flavour.

As regards (i) above, in our letter dt 4.1.32 the Secy was told that the liquor from each distillery is periodically analysed- vide

para 1 at pp 15-16 & para 3 at p 17 of (T)

& item (1) at p 7 ibid

para 1 at p 5 Cr

para 2 at p 5 Cr

pp 15-16 of (T) para

pp 5-6 of (T1)

also para 1 of the Comm's proceedings dt 3.12.37

the periodical analysis referred to by the Comm. of Excise is the analysis conducted in the Comm's laboratory and not by the Public Analyst. (There does not appear to be any necessity for a separate analysis by the Public Analyst) the arrack available in taverns etc is the arrack issued from the distilleries.

Vide para 6 of pp 13-14 of the Excise Admn report for 30-31 (part II) Govt

I presume it is the practice of the Comm. Depts. to take samples of this from time to time - a fact which should be ascertained. pr.

In respect of item (ii) in para 2 at p 4 supra, it is not clear what necessity there is for experiments so long as there are no grounds for complaint that the liquor issued in this Presidency is impure.

Vide A' at pp 5-6 of (T)

This request has nothing to do with the purity of liquor. Mr. S. would in fact make more liquor impure! pr

In para 1 at p 5 CF, the note states that there is a general belief that the arrack issued in this Presidency is impure. It is not known on what grounds the belief is based. It is for consideration whether the note should be asked to furnish specific instances of the issue of impure arrack. It is also for consideration whether the Comm. should be consulted whether any experiment of the kind mentioned by the note has been made.

Vide in this connection the D.O. letter referred to at pp 25-26 and also p 31 of Govt Order 216 (D) dt 9.4.38

3. Sealed bottle system. The note requests to be informed of the grounds on which the sale of liquor in sealed bottles has been considered expensive and difficult to control. The grounds on which the system has been considered expensive are briefly as follows:-

para 3 at p 3 CF

(a) that the system would almost certainly lead to a fall in revenue; — para 10 at pp 571-572 Proc 16.3.26 (D)

(b) Addl excise staff to supervise bottling operations etc in distilleries and to prevent the possible increase in crime since a trial of the system in other Provinces had clearly led to an increase in illicit retail sales and in illicit distillation; — B at p 11 thro' C at p 9 thro' C at p 31 thro'

(c) Cost of bottling, the heavy freight for the transport of bottled liquor and the cost of the large amount of breakage of bottles involved in transit; — and — A at p 7 thro' & A at p 10 thro'

(d) Installation in distilleries etc of bottling apparatus etc — para 13 at pp 10-11 thro'

The system was considered to be difficult to control since it would lead to the development of illicit sales to meet the demand for drink in small quantities which the consumer would not get under the sealed bottle system. Further illicit practices would increase consequent on the increased selling price of arrack as a result of introduction of sale in bottles. — para 6 at pp 7-8 thro'

4 In the circumstances stated in para 2 at pp 1-2 of Cf and para 1 of the letter at p 3 supra the Govt request that at least as a first step the sealing of bottles in the Mangalore Depot may be sanctioned. In September 1927, the Govt

para 12 at p 10 of (J)

Precis states that if the post wished to try the system, it might be tried in Salem, Tanjore and Mangalore Depots. The note states that he did not propose that with the introduction of the sealed bottle, the sale of a drain or less of liquor should be given up. This is to be observed from the portions marked B at pp 4 & 8 of (J) Govt's 5.12 Resg 16.3.28 that all Combined System of sale in sealed bottles and on tap was not found satisfactory in the Central Provinces. It is however for consideration whether the Commr ought be consulted on the note's proposal to try the system in the Mangalore Depot

A at p 5 ante

for order.

16/1/32

18/1/32

Vide paras 12-14 on p 10-11 of (J)

It is not merely the Govt that would incur additional expenditure in this connexion. The arrack shippers, Contractors & Distillers also have to come into the scheme & spend money. The present is certainly not the time for experiments

16.1.32

8 — 24

Ivie para 2 at pp. 1-2 Enclosure

Arrack — making Cashew-arrack might be adopted. It might be criticised as exploiting a new form of alcoholic liquor. — Arrack supplying arrack in sealed bottles, alongside the ordinary system, might be criticised as encouraging the drinking of spirits by the upper classes.

paras 3 & 4 at pp. 5-7 Enclosure 1

The fact is, I think, that the upper classes of S. Kanara are any rate the Christians — are rather connoisseurs of liquor: and that they have no sympathy with an ideal of reduced consumption. They want better liquor: not less liquor.

2. As regards the specific proposals:-

(i) Cashew arrack — See my remarks on p. 2 ante. para 4 at pp. 7-8 Enclosure

(ii) The sealed bottle system — On a further consideration of this, I think the difficulties have been exaggerated. Arrack is, as a matter of fact, supplied at present in bottles: Indian-made whiskey etc. are merely arrack with whiskey flavouring. It is not clear how the S's friends would buy these productions: but if they want bottled arrack without the flavouring, there can be any insuperable difficulty in supplying it. Presumably it will be sold by the F.L. licensees.

paras 3 & 4 at pp. 5-6 Enclosure Letter at p. 3 Enclosure

The Commissioner should be asked to report further on this. At the same time on grounds of temperance there is nothing to be said for the

9/25

Despatch

20.

13. I agree that in S. Canara what is required is better liquor. As suggested by the Secy and Star about bottled-arrack reference may go to the Commissioner pointing out that the difficulties may be overcome.

13/6.

Cwe 21-1-32
Box M. 21.

Draft Report 30/31

14

1510

Co—H

S. SRESHTA M.L.C.
C.C.S. (Retd.)

INGLEDON,
KANKANADY P.O.
MANGALORE.

17th February 1932.

Dear Diwan Bahadur,

Refce:-My D.O. to you of 10th ultimo enclosing a copy of my letter to The Secretary Revenue Department on the subject of sale of arrack in sealed bottles etc. I pointed out in my letter to you that there is persistent and widespread complaint that the arrack sold in taverns in this district is freely adulterated and that the introduction of the system of sale in sealed bottles would be highly appreciated by the public who would be most grateful to you for this innovation.

In the Memorandum presented to you by the deputation of the Catholic Association of S.K. the same point was strongly urged. You will remember that Dr.L.P.Fernandez who is the chief Medical Officer of the Kankanady Hospital and a person of recognized standing in his profession and is a highly influential leader of the Christian Community pointed out that poisonous substances were often added to the liquor sold in taverns. You were good enough to say that you would have the question ~~rushed~~ threshed out between myself and Excise Officials.

I shall be at Madras again in connection with the next Council meeting and I shall be gratefully obliged if you would kindly arrange for a Conference in your room on any day between 23rd February and 2nd March, at which the Excise Official concerned, a representative of Messrs.Parry and Co., and myself could be present. This matter has been pending for several years and until a satisfactory solution is reached there will be constant discussion, for as long as the grievance remains, it will not be possible to avoid controversy. I myself find that I am constantly asked by my constituents to have the grievance remedied.

To AS

Please see the orders at p9 supra. A draft on the Commr of Excise is submd for approval. No Communication to Mr Sreshta is perhaps necessary at this stage. Vide HH's remarks at p7-8 supra. Vide at p9 supra.

16.6.32

15.6.32

pp 9-13 Cf | from the Canonr of Cochin C.R.No 5132 Ab/32 dy 14.x

Tots

Please see the notes at pp 8-9 supra regarding the sale of arrack in sealed bottles in Mangalore, the O/M at pp 7-8 Cf and the Canonr's repe at pp 9-13 Cf. The Canonr agrees that the difficulties in supplying bottled arrack are not insuperable. And that the only question is whether it is advisable or not to supply arrack in bottles. For the reasons stated in para 4 of his repe, he (if the Govt desire to try the system in Mangalore) considers that bottled arrack should be sold in arrack shops and not in F.L. shops. The (contains proposals for) scheme for giving effect to the scheme ~~in Mangalore & the~~ ~~Govt decide to introduce it~~ is explained in para 5 at p 12 Cf and contained

2 It is for orders whether the system will be introduced in Mangalore and if so, whether the scheme outlined by the Canonr will be approved. ~~Finance~~ The file may be sent to Finance ~~may perhaps see~~ for remarks before Circulation.

(& the scheme may affect excise revenue - vide p 11 Cf) only if bottled arrack is sold in F.L. shops.

Ah Sir Minister If the system is introduced, Govt may agree with the Comnr that the bottled arrack should be sold only in arrack shops & not in F.L. shops. The Comnr may be asked to try the system

in Mangalore & submit a report on its working at the end of two years. /A

26.10.32

S

Seen that the Commr. estimates that the ... para 3 ... Basket attack will have to be sold at a price of one anna per piece whereas the normal price of the ordinary bamboo attack now sold in attack shops

26/10

Toks

The normal price per piece of the ordinary 'bamboo' attack sold in the shops of Mangalore town is 12 annas. vide Toks il, p 29 of (d)

The Ord vision

27.10.32

S

For orders.
The proposal is feasible, at any rate as an experiment. Whether it is desirable is another matter.

Circ 27.10.32

27/10

M.3 The proposals of the

14 30

meantimes

Commissioner in para 5 of his letter may be tried

Draft

Note

A draft order is subd for approval but may first be referred

CNO 405-7 14-12-34.

[2. The cell has been listed as No. 14 under date 5-1-35]

Vide 30 148 Rev 21-1-35.

3. After issue of the order, Dresp will be put up informing Messrs. Surtees and Selbach of the orders passed (with refce to their letters in this and linked files)

9.1.35

10.1.35

8

Finance 824 952-3

10.7.33

10 JAN 1933 FINANCE DEPT

10 JAN 1933 REVENUE DEPT

Finance (Accts)

(Notes from page 12 may be read)

Submitted that the draft order may be passed and the case returned to Revenue.

11.1.33 11.1.33

11.1.33

5

D.1

Rev. to

Issue of draft 16.1.33

~~45~~

(Fo.No 93 (Rev) 16 (-) 1933) pp 15 - Ucca.

Date

With reference to para 3 of the notes at fo 14 ante, a draft letter to Mr Preshta is put up for approval. A draft letter to Mr Saldanha is put up with the linked file. ~~Cas 220 ante~~

(No reply to Mr Preshta seems necessary in respect of the points mentioned in the note, in para 2 at pp 4-5 ante. He has postponed the matter alone for the present as a first step

~~pp 3~~ (pp. 3 and 6 above.)

17/1/33

17.1.33

17.1.33

S

Scott. II-5 (a)

15/32

Rs DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying		
When copied		
When examined		
When signed		
When despatched		

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated ..... 19 .

" " " " 19 .

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 34 & 32 From the Commr Coorg, CR, No. 5132 Alg dated 14.10.1932 1933-13 CR

" " " " " " 19

" " " " " " 19

Above in Rw bdg.

ORDER. No. 93, dated 16-1-1933

Rs

Ins

Ins

Ins

Revenue Dept

Letter No 34 Dy.32-4 Dy 18.1.33

To

The M.S. Secretariat, Note

Engledow

Hamkadaka P.O.,

Mangalore.

Sir,

Excise - (South Kanara).

Mangalore - Arrack - Sale in sealed bottles. Your letter Dy.10-11 Dy 17.2.32 addressed to the Hon'ble the Minister for Fin & Excise

I am directed to say that the Govt have issued orders directing that the system of sale of arrack in sealed bottles should be tried in Mangalore Town with effect from 1-4-33.

I have etc

18.1.33